

Supersymmetry algebra and BPS states of super Yang-Mills theories on noncommutative tori

Anatoly Konechny and Albert Schwarz

Department of Mathematics, University of California
Davis, CA 95616 USA

konechny@math.ucdavis.edu, schwarz@math.ucdavis.edu

January 15, 1999

Abstract

We consider 10-dimensional super Yang-Mills theory with topological terms compactified on a noncommutative torus. We calculate supersymmetry algebra and derive BPS energy spectra from it. The cases of d -dimensional tori with $d = 2, 3, 4$ are considered in full detail. $SO(d, d, \mathbf{Z})$ -invariance of the BPS spectrum and relation of new results to the previous work in this direction are discussed.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider supersymmetric gauge theories on noncommutative tori. It was shown in [3] that such gauge theories can be obtained as compactifications of M(atric) theory. More precisely we can consider compactifications of BFSS Matrix model ([1]) on a noncommutative d -dimensional torus T_θ . Another way to look at this model is by considering first a compactification of IKKT model [2] (as it was suggested in [3]) on a $(1 + d)$ -dimensional torus of the form $T = S^1 \times T_\theta$ and then performing a Wick rotation of that theory with the S^1 factor corresponding to the time direction.

For the case of commutative torus our calculations agree with well known results (see for example [11]). They agree also with calculations performed in [10] in the context of Born-Infeld theory.

Partial answer to the problem we consider is contained also in [7], [8]. The terms that were taken into account in these papers agree with our results.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we calculate supersymmetry algebra of the model and derive the expression for BPS energy spectrum out of it. In section 3 we calculate BPS spectra for $d = 2, 3, 4$ explicitly in terms of topological numbers and eigenvalues of the total momentum and the zero mode of electric field. In section 4 we discuss the invariance of the BPS spectra with respect to $SO(d, d|\mathbf{Z})$ transformations. Finally, we discuss the case of modules admitting a constant curvature connection. We show that for this case our new results can be reproduced by the method used in our previous paper [9] for the analysis of modules admitting constant curvature connection over two-dimensional tori.

2 Supersymmetry algebra and BPS spectrum

Let T_θ be a d -dimensional noncommutative torus specified by an antisymmetric $d \times d$ matrix θ . Such a torus can be defined by means of generators $U_1, \dots, U_d$ satisfying

$$U_k U_j = e^{2\pi i \theta^{kj}} U_j U_k.$$

Let us consider derivations δ_i of T_θ determined by the following relations

$$\delta_k U_j = 2\pi i \delta_{kj} U_j \quad (1)$$

where δ_{kj} is the Kronecker symbol. These derivations span an abelian Lie algebra denoted by L_θ .

Consider an abelian 10-dimensional Lie algebra L that acts on T_θ by means of derivations belonging to L_θ . This means that for every $X \in L$ we have an operator $\delta_X \in L_\theta$ obeying $\delta_X(ab) = \delta_X(a)b + a\delta_X(b)$ for any $a, b \in T$. Then a connection ∇_X on E can be defined as a set of linear operators $\nabla_X : E \rightarrow E$, $X \in L$ satisfying the Leibnitz rule:

$$\nabla_X(ae) = a\nabla_X e + (\delta_X a)e \quad (2)$$

for any $a \in T$, $e \in E$. (We assume that δ_X and ∇_X depend linearly on X .) We also suppose that L is equipped with a Minkowski signa-

ture metric. These data are sufficient to define an action functional of the M(atr)ix theory (BFSS model) compactified on T_θ .

Let us adopt the following conventions about indices. The capital Latin indices $I, J, \dots$ run from 0 to 9, Greek indices $\mu, \nu, \dots$ run from 0 to d , the small Latin indices $i, j, \dots$ take values from 1 to d , and the tilted small Latin indices $\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}, \dots$ take values from $d+1$ to 9. We assume that one can fix a basis X_I of the Lie algebra L such that $\delta_{X_i} = \delta_i$, $\delta_{\tilde{i}} = 0$, and the metric tensor g_{IJ} in this basis satisfies $g_{00} = -R_0^2$, $g_{I0} = 0$ if $I \neq 0$, $g_{i\tilde{j}} = 0$, $g_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} = \delta_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}$. The conditions on g_{IJ} mean that it can be written as the following block matrix

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} -R_0^2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & (g_{ij}) & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

where g_{ij} stands for a $d \times d$ matrix that defines a metric on the spatial torus.

The Minkowski action functional of M(atr)ix theory compactified on a noncommutative torus T_θ can be written as

$$S = \frac{-V}{4g_{YM}^2} \text{Tr}(F_{IJ} + \phi_{IJ}\mathbf{1})(F^{IJ} + \phi^{IJ}\mathbf{1}) + \frac{iV}{2g_{YM}^2} \text{Tr}\bar{\psi}\Gamma^I[\nabla_I, \psi] \quad (4)$$

where ∇_I is a connection on a T_θ -module E , ψ is a ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinor taking values in the algebra $\text{End}_{T_\theta}E$ of endomorphisms of E , ϕ_{IJ} is an antisymmetric tensor with the only non-vanishing components ϕ_{ij} , and Γ_I are gamma-matrices satisfying $\{\Gamma_I, \Gamma_J\} = -2g_{IJ}$. It follows from our conventions on δ_{X_I} that $\nabla_{\tilde{i}} = X_{\tilde{i}}$ where $X_{\tilde{i}}$ are endomorphisms of E (scalar fields).

In [9] we discussed the quantization of Yang-Mills theory on a noncommutative torus in the $\nabla_0 = 0$ gauge. Those considerations can be easily generalized to the supersymmetric case at hand. Let us briefly describe the quantization procedure. The Minkowski action functional (4) is defined on the configuration space $\text{Conn}E \times (\Pi\text{End}_{T_\theta}E)^{16}$ where $\text{Conn}E$ denotes the space of connections on E ,

Π denotes the parity reversion operator. To describe the Hamiltonian formulation we first restrict ourselves to the space $\mathcal{M} = Conn'E \times (End_{T_\theta}E)^9 \times (\Pi End_{T_\theta}E)^{16}$ where $Conn'E$ stands for the space of connections satisfying $\nabla_0 = 0$, the second factor corresponds to a cotangent space to $Conn'E$. We denote coordinates on that cotangent space by P^I . Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a subspace where the Gaussian constraint $[\nabla_i, P^i] = 0$ is satisfied. Then the phase space of the theory is the quotient $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{N}/G$ where G is the group of spatial gauge transformations.

The presymplectic form (i.e. a degenerate closed 2-form) ω on $\mathcal{M}$ is defined as

$$\omega = \text{Tr} \delta P^I \wedge \delta \nabla_I - i \frac{V}{2g_{YM}^2} \text{Tr} \delta \bar{\psi} \Gamma^0 \wedge \delta \psi. \quad (5)$$

It descends to a symplectic form on the phase space $\mathcal{P}$ which determines Poisson brackets $\{.,.\}_{PB}$.

The Hamiltonian corresponding to (4) reads

$$\begin{aligned} H = & \text{Tr} \frac{g_{YM}^2 R_0^2}{2V} P^I g_{IJ} P^J + \\ & + \text{Tr} \frac{V}{4g_{YM}^2} (F_{IJ} + \phi_{IJ} \cdot \mathbf{1}) g^{IK} g^{JL} (F_{KL} + \phi_{KL} \cdot \mathbf{1}) + \\ & + \text{fermionic term}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The action (4) is invariant under the following supersymmetry transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\epsilon \nabla_I &= \frac{i}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \Gamma_I \psi \\ \delta_\epsilon \psi &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{IJ} \Gamma^{IJ} \epsilon \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon \psi = \epsilon, \quad \tilde{\delta}_\epsilon \nabla_I = 0 \quad (8)$$

The corresponding supercharges are given by expressions

$$Q = \frac{iR_0}{2} \text{Tr} P^I \psi^t \Gamma_0 \Gamma_I + \frac{iV R_0}{4g_{YM}^2} \text{Tr} F_{IJ} \psi^t \Gamma^{IJ}, \quad (9)$$

$$\tilde{Q} = -\frac{iV R_0}{g_{YM}^2} \text{Tr} \psi. \quad (10)$$

(Supersymmetry transformations are odd vector fields preserving the symplectic form and therefore are generated by odd functions on the phase space - supercharges.)

As one can readily calculate using (7) and (9), (10) the supersymmetry algebra has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
i\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\}_{PB} &= \frac{1}{2}(H - \Phi) + \frac{R_0}{2}\gamma^I \mathcal{P}_I - \frac{V}{16g_{YM}^2}\gamma^{[ijkl]}C_{ijkl} \\
i\{\tilde{Q}_\alpha, Q_\beta\}_{PB} &= \frac{-R_0}{2}\gamma_I p^I + \frac{V}{4g_{YM}^2}\gamma^{ij}C_{ij} \\
i\{\tilde{Q}_\alpha, \tilde{Q}_\beta\}_{PB} &= \frac{V}{g_{YM}^2}dim E
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where H is the Hamiltonian (6), $\Phi = \frac{V}{4g_{YM}^2}\text{Tr}(\phi_{ij}\phi^{ij}\mathbf{1} + 2F_{ij}\phi^{ij})$, $p^I = \text{Tr}P^I$, $\mathcal{P}_I = \text{Tr}F_{IJ}P^J + \text{fermionic term}$, $C_{ij} = \text{Tr}F_{ij}$, $C_{ijkl} = \text{Tr}F_{ij}F_{kl}$. In (11) we use the Euclidean nine-dimensional gamma-matrices $\gamma^I = R_0\Gamma^0\Gamma^I$ satisfying $\{\gamma^I, \gamma^J\} = 2g^{IJ}$. Note that in (11) we assume that the index I does not take the zero value. When calculating Poisson brackets (11) it is convenient to use the identity $\{A, B\}_{PB} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}(B) - \mathbf{b}(A))$ where $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$ denote the Hamiltonian vector fields corresponding to functions A and B respectively.

After quantization the supersymmetry algebra (11) preserves its form (one only needs to drop factors of i on the LHS's), provided Q_α , $\tilde{Q}_\alpha$, H , $\mathcal{P}_I$, and p^I are considered as self-adjoint operators in a Hilbert space. The quantities C_{ij} , C_{ijkl} , Φ , $dim E$ are central charges. As usual we define BPS states as states annihilated by a part of supersymmetry operators. The energy H eigenvalues of BPS states can be expressed via values of central charges and eigenvalues of operators $\mathcal{P}_I$, p^I (the operators H , $\mathcal{P}_I$, and p^I all commute with each other). The energy of a 1/4-BPS state on a d -dimensional torus for $d \leq 4$ is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
E &= \frac{R_0^2 g_{YM}^2}{2V dim E} p^I g_{IJ} p^J + \\
&+ \frac{V}{4g_{YM}^2 dim E} (C_{ij} + dim E \phi_{ij})(C^{ij} + dim E \phi^{ij}) + \\
&+ R_0 \sqrt{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + \mathcal{P}_i^2 + (\pi/g_{YM})^4 (C_2)^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = v_i g^{ij} v_j$ stands for the norm squared of a d -dimensional vector $\mathbf{v} = (\mathcal{P}_i - (\dim E)^{-1} C_{ij} p^j)$, and

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \epsilon^{ijkl} (C_{ijkl} - (\dim E)^{-1} C_{ij} C_{kl}).$$

In formula (12) we use the same notations $\mathcal{P}_I, p^I$ for the eigenvalues of the corresponding operators. Note that when the term with the square root vanishes we get a 1/2-BPS state. Here we would like to make few remarks on how to obtain formula (12). First one notices that commutators of supercharges (11) form a block matrix $M_{\alpha\beta}$. The BPS condition means that this matrix has a zero eigenvector. As the block $\{\tilde{Q}_\alpha, \tilde{Q}_\beta\}$ is non-degenerate one can reformulate the BPS condition as the degeneracy condition on some square matrix of dimension twice smaller than that of $M_{\alpha\beta}$. At that point one can apply the standard technique of finding zero eigenvalues of matrices expressed in terms of Gamma matrices (for example see [11] Appendix B).

Note that operators $\mathcal{P}_i, p^{\tilde{j}}$ have a continuum spectrum. Everywhere below we restrict ourselves to the zero eigenvalue subspace for these operators. Moreover, we will not consider any effects of scalar fields on the spectrum. Below $\mathcal{P}_i$ denotes the operator $\text{Tr} F_{ij} P^j$.

The phase space $\mathcal{P}$ of the theory at hand is not simply connected. It is well known that in such a case there is some freedom in quantization. Namely, in the framework of geometric quantization (see [12] or Appendix A of paper [9] for details) we can assign to every function F on a phase space an operator $\tilde{F}$ defined by the formula

$$\tilde{F}\phi = F\phi + \omega^{ij} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^j} \nabla_i \phi \quad (13)$$

where $\nabla_i \phi = \hbar \partial_i + \alpha_i$ is a covariant derivative with respect to $U(1)$ -gauge field having the curvature ω_{ij} . Here ω_{ij} is a matrix of symplectic form ω and ω^{ij} is the inverse matrix. The operator $\tilde{F}$ acts on the space of sections of a line bundle over the phase space. The transition from F to $\tilde{F}$ is called prequantization. It satisfies

$$[\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}] = i\hbar(\{F, G\})^\vee$$

where $\{F, G\} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i} \omega^{ij} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x^j}$ is the Poisson bracket. Notice that re-

placing α with $\alpha + \delta\alpha$ we change $\check{F}$ in the following way

$$\check{F} = \check{F}_{old} + \delta\alpha(\xi_F) = \check{F}_{old} + \delta\alpha_i \xi_F^i \quad (14)$$

where ξ_F stands for the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to the function F . To define a quantization we should introduce the so called polarization, i.e. exclude half of the variables. Then for every function F on the phase space we obtain a quantum operator $\hat{F}$.

If the gauge field in (13) is replaced by a gauge equivalent field we obtain an equivalent quantization procedure. However, in the case when the phase space is not simply connected the gauge class of ∇_i in (13) is not specified uniquely. The simplest choice of the 1-form α in the system we consider is

$$\alpha = \text{Tr} P^I \delta \nabla_I - i \frac{V}{2g_{YM}^2} \text{Tr} \bar{\psi} \Gamma^0 \delta \psi. \quad (15)$$

But we can also add to this α any closed 1-form $\delta\alpha$, for example

$$\delta\alpha = \lambda^i \text{Tr} \delta \nabla_i + \lambda^{ijk} \text{Tr} \delta \nabla_i \cdot F_{jk} \quad (16)$$

where λ^{ijk} is an antisymmetric 3-tensor and λ^i is a 1-tensor. One can check that for $d \leq 4$ we obtain all gauge classes by adding (16) to (15) (for $d > 4$ one should include additional terms labeled by antisymmetric tensors of odd rank ≥ 5). In the Lagrangian formalism one can include topological terms into the action functional. The addition of all topological terms to the Lagrangian corresponds to the consideration of all possible ways of (pre)quantization in the Hamiltonian formalism. The topological terms can be interpreted as Ramond-Ramond backgrounds from the string theory point of view (see [10], [8] for more details). The additional terms in the action can be expressed in terms of the Chern character. In particular, the consideration of the form $\alpha + \delta\alpha$ given by (15), (16) corresponds to adding the following terms to the action

$$S_{top} = \lambda^i \text{Tr} F_{0i} + \lambda^{ijk} \text{Tr} F_{0i} F_{jk}. \quad (17)$$

Let us make one general remark here. Assume that a first order linear differential operator L_A defined by $L_A F = \{A, G\}_{PB}$ obeys $e^{2\pi L_A} = 1$ (in other words the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to A generates the group $U(1) = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$). Then it is easy to check

that

$$e^{2\pi\check{A}}\check{F}e^{-2\pi\check{A}} = \check{F} \quad (18)$$

for any function F on the phase space. It follows from (18) that after quantization we should expect

$$e^{2\pi i\hat{A}} = \text{const.}$$

We see that the eigenvalues of $\hat{A}$ are quantized; they have the form $m + \mu$ where $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and μ is a fixed constant.

Now let us discuss the spectrum of the operators $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_i, \hat{p}^i$. Our considerations will be along the line proposed in [10]. Consider a Hamiltonian vector field $\xi_{\mathcal{P}_i}$ corresponding to the gauge invariant momentum functional $\mathcal{P}_i = \text{Tr} F_{ij} P^j$. The variation of ∇_j under the action of $\xi_{\mathcal{P}_i}$ is equal to F_{ij} . Let us fix a connection ∇_i^0 on the module E . Then an arbitrary connection has the form $\nabla_i = \nabla_i^0 + X_i$ where $X_i \in \text{End}_{T_\theta} E$. Hence, we have

$$\xi_{\mathcal{P}_i}(\nabla_j) = F_{ij} = -[\nabla_j, X_i] + [\nabla_i^0, \nabla_j]. \quad (19)$$

Here the first term corresponds to infinitesimal gauge transformation. Therefore, up to a gauge transformation, $\mathcal{P}_i$ generates a vector field acting as $\delta\nabla_j = [\nabla_i^0, \nabla_j]$. Now one can check using (1), (2) and the identity $e^{\delta_j} = 1$ that the operator $\exp(\nabla_j^0)$ is an endomorphism, i.e.

$$\exp(\nabla_j^0)U_i\exp(-\nabla_j^0) = U_i$$

and since it is unitary it represents a global gauge transformation. This means that on the phase space of our theory (after taking a quotient with respect to the gauge group) the identity $\exp(L_{\mathcal{P}_j}) = 1$ is satisfied. Here $L_{\mathcal{P}_j}$ stands for the differential operator corresponding to $\mathcal{P}_j$. As we already mentioned this identity leads to a quantization of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_j$ eigenvalues. Namely, for some fixed constant μ_j the eigenvalues of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_j$ have the form

$$\mathcal{P}_j = 2\pi(\mu_j + m_j) \quad (20)$$

where m_j is an integer. The quantization condition (20) is valid for every choice of quantization procedure (for every $\alpha + \delta\alpha$). However, the constants μ_j depend on this choice. Using the freedom that we

have in the quantization we can take $\mu_j = 0$ when $\delta\alpha = 0$. In the case of non-vanishing α we obtain using (14) and (19)

$$\mathcal{P}_j = 2\pi m_j + C_{jk}\lambda^k + C_{ijkl}\lambda^{kl}. \quad (21)$$

Quantization of the electric field zero mode $\text{Tr}P^i$ comes from periodicity conditions on the space $\text{Conn}'E/G$. It was first noted in [10] one can consider the operator $U_j \exp(-\theta^{jk}\nabla_k)$ that commutes with all U_i and thus is an endomorphism. Being unitary this operator determines a gauge transformation. As one can easily check this gauge transformation can be equivalently written as an action of the operator

$$\exp((2\pi\delta_{jk} - \theta^{jl}F_{lk})\frac{\delta}{\delta\nabla_k}) \quad (22)$$

on the space $\text{Conn}'E$. Therefore, on the space $\text{Conn}'E/G$ this operator descends to the identity. The Hamiltonian vector field defined by the exponential of (22) corresponds to the functional $2\pi p^k - \theta^{ki}\mathcal{P}_i$. Hence, as we explained above the quantum operator $\hat{p}^k - (2\pi)^{-1}\theta^{ki}\hat{\mathcal{P}}_i$ has eigenvalues of the form $n^i + \nu^i$ where $n^i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and ν^i is a fixed number. Proceeding as above we obtain the following quantization law for eigenvalues of $\text{Tr}\hat{P}^i$

$$p^i = n^i + \theta^{ij}m_j + \lambda^i \dim E + \lambda^{ijk}C_{jk} \quad (23)$$

where n^i is an integer and m_j is the integer specifying the eigenvalue of total momentum operator (21).

3 Energies of BPS states for $d = 2, 3, 4$

Now we are ready to write explicit answers for $d = 2, 3, 4$. For $d = 2$ the Chern character can be written as

$$ch(E) = (p - q\theta) + q\alpha^1\alpha^2$$

where p and q are integers such that $p - q\theta > 0$. Hence $\dim E = p - q\theta$, $C_{ij} = \pi\epsilon_{ij}q$, $C_2 = 0$ and we get the following answer for the energies of BPS states

$$E = \frac{R_0^2 g_{YM}^2}{2V \dim E} (n^i + \theta^{ik}m_k + \lambda^i \dim E) g_{ij}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot (n^j + \theta^{jl} m_l + \lambda^j \dim E) + \\ & + \frac{R_0^2}{2V g_{YM}^2 \dim E} (\pi q + \phi \dim E)^2 + \frac{2\pi R_0}{\dim E} \|\mathbf{v}\| \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $\mathbf{v}$ is a two-dimensional vector $\mathbf{v} = (m_i p - q \epsilon_{ij} n^j)$.

For a three-dimensional torus the Chern character has the form

$$ch(E) = p + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \theta q + \frac{1}{2} q_{ij} \alpha^i \alpha^j$$

where p is an integer and q is an antisymmetric matrix with integral entries. Substituting the expressions $\dim E = p + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \theta q$, $C_{ij} = \pi q_{ij}$, $C_2 = 0$ into the main formula (12) and using (23), (21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E = & \frac{R_0^2 g_{YM}^2}{2V \dim E} (n^i + \theta^{ik} m_k + \lambda^i \dim E + \pi \lambda^{ikl} q_{kl}) g_{ij} \cdot \\ & \cdot (n^j + \theta^{jr} m_r + \lambda^j \dim E + \pi \lambda^{jrs} q_{rs}) + \\ & + \frac{V}{4g_{YM}^2 \dim E} (\pi q_{ij} + \dim E \phi_{ij}) g^{ik} g^{jl} (\pi q_{kl} + \dim E \phi_{kl}) + \\ & + \frac{\pi R_0}{\dim E} \|\mathbf{v}\| \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (m_i \dim E - q_{ij} (n^j + \theta^{jk} m_k))$.

Finally let us consider the case $d = 4$. The Chern character now reads as

$$ch(E) = p + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \theta q + s \underline{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} (q + * \theta s)_{ij} \alpha^i \alpha^j + s \alpha^1 \alpha^2 \alpha^3 \alpha^4$$

where p and s are integers, q_{ij} is an antisymmetric 4×4 matrix with integral entries, $(*\theta)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijkl} \theta^{kl}$, and $\underline{\theta} = \frac{1}{8} \theta^{ij} \epsilon_{ijkl} \theta^{kl} = \sqrt{\det \theta}_{ij}$ stands for the Pfaffian of θ . From this expression for $ch(E)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim E = & p + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \theta q + s \underline{\theta}, \quad C_{ij} = \pi (q + * \theta s)_{ij} \\ C_{[ijkl]} = & \pi^2 \epsilon_{ijkl} s, \quad C_2 = (\dim E)^{-1} (ps - \underline{q}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above expressions into (12) we obtain the following answer for the BPS spectrum

$$E = \frac{R_0^2 g_{YM}^2 \dim E}{2V} (n^i + \lambda^i \dim E + \pi \lambda^{ikl} (q + * \theta s)_{kl} + \theta^{ik} m_k) g_{ij} \cdot$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot (n^j + \lambda^j \dim E + \pi \lambda^{jrt} (q + * \theta s)_{rt} + \theta^{jr} m_r) + \\
& + \frac{V \pi^2}{4 g_{YM}^2 \dim E} ((q + * \theta s)_{ij} + \dim E \frac{\phi_{ij}}{\pi}) g^{ik} \cdot \\
& \cdot g^{jl} ((q + * \theta s)_{kl} + \dim E \frac{\phi_{kl}}{\pi}) + \\
& + \frac{\pi R_0}{\dim E} \sqrt{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + (\pi/g_{YM}^2)^2 (ps - \underline{q})^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v} = (v_i) &= (m_i \dim E - (q + * \theta s)_{ij} (n^j + \theta^{jk} m_k) + 2\pi (*\lambda_3)_i (ps - \underline{q})) \\
, \text{ and } (*\lambda_3)_i &= \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{ijkl} \lambda^{jkl}.
\end{aligned}$$

4 Morita equivalence

As it was first shown in [4] Yang-Mills theories on noncommutative tori T_θ and $T_{\hat{\theta}}$ that are related by (complete) Morita equivalence are physically equivalent. We refer the reader to papers [4], [5], [6] for a rigorous definition and discussion of Morita equivalence and its relation to physical duality. Here we will only state the basic results. Compactifications of M(atric) theory on two d -dimensional noncommutative tori T_θ and $T_{\hat{\theta}}$ are physically equivalent iff

$$\hat{\theta} = (M\theta + N)(R\theta + S)^{-1} \tag{27}$$

where M, N, R, S are $d \times d$ blocks of the $2d \times 2d$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ R & S \end{pmatrix} \tag{28}$$

belonging to the group $SO(d, d|\mathbf{Z})$. Correspondence between different quantities defined on a module E over T_θ and on a module $\hat{E}$ over $T_{\hat{\theta}}$ specified by Morita equivalence, can be explicitly described by means of the matrix (28). The metric g_{ij} transforms in the following way

$$\hat{g} = AgA^t \tag{29}$$

where $A = S + R\theta$. For the curvatures one has the relation

$$AF^\nabla A^t - \pi RA^t = F^{\hat{\nabla}}. \tag{30}$$

The antisymmetric tensor ϕ_{ij} transformation reads

$$\hat{\phi} = A\phi A^t + \pi R A^t. \quad (31)$$

The dimensions of E and $\hat{E}$ are connected by the relation

$$\dim \hat{E} = \dim E |\det A|^{-1/2}. \quad (32)$$

Using (15) or (17) and (30) one can easily find transformation laws for the tensors λ^i, λ^{ijk} under Morita equivalence (27):

$$\hat{\lambda}^{ijk} = |\det A|^{1/2} B_l^i B_m^j B_n^k \lambda^{lmn} \quad (33)$$

$$\hat{\lambda}^i = |\det A|^{1/2} B_j^i \lambda^j + \hat{\lambda}^{ijk} \sigma_{jk} \quad (34)$$

where $\sigma = -\pi R A^t$, $B = (A^t)^{-1}$.

The eigenvalues p^i and $\mathcal{P}_i$ transform as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}^i &= (A^t)^{-1}{}^i{}_j p^j, \\ \hat{\mathcal{P}}_i &= A_i{}^j \mathcal{P}_j - \pi R_{ij} p^j \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Using the above formulas it is easy to check the invariance of spectrum (12) provided the Yang-Mills coupling constant g_{YM}^2 transforms according to the formula $\hat{g}_{YM}^2 = |\det A|^{1/2} g_{YM}^2$. One can also check the invariance of expressions (24), (25), (26) written in terms of topological numbers under $SO(d, d|\mathbf{Z})$ transformations. One just needs to note that, as it is shown in [4], the element $\mu(E)$ of a Grassmann algebra containing topological numbers transforms according to a spinor representation of $SO(d, d|\mathbf{Z})$ under Morita equivalence transformation (27).

5 Modules with constant curvature connections

In the previous sections we calculated the energies of BPS states in the assumption that these states do exist. In the present section we will confirm our calculations by means of explicit semiclassical construction. We will consider 1/2 BPS fields (constant curvature connections). One can calculate the energies of corresponding BPS-states restricting ourselves to a neighborhood of the set of constant

curvature connections. For $d = 2$ the calculations of this kind were performed in [9]. The answer agrees with the calculations made above. We will show that this result can be extended to the case of $d > 2$. It is proved in [9] that under certain conditions on θ every module admitting a constant curvature connection can be transformed into a free module by means of $SO(d, d|\mathbf{Z})$ duality transformation. This means that it is sufficient to consider free modules when calculating BPS energies.

Let T_θ be a noncommutative d -dimensional torus and E a module over it admitting a constant curvature connection. We assume that $End_{T_\theta} E$ is a noncommutative torus $T_{\tilde{\theta}}$. Then $\tilde{\theta}$ and θ are related by means of an element of $SO(d, d|\mathbf{Z})$ specified by a matrix

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ R & S \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

This element of $SO(d, d|\mathbf{Z})$ transforms our module into a free module of rank 1 (i.e. the module we consider is a basic module in the terminology of [9]). The torus $T_{\tilde{\theta}}$ has generators Z_i satisfying

$$Z_j Z_k = e^{2\pi i \tilde{\theta}^{jk}} Z_k Z_j.$$

Let us consider the set $\mathcal{C}$ of all constant curvature connections. The group of all gauge transformations acts on this set. This group is disconnected. The group of its connected components is denoted by G^{large} . One can check that the components of the set $\mathcal{C}$ are labeled by elements of G^{large}/G^{mon} where G^{mon} corresponds to gauge transformations defined by monomials $Z_1^{k_1} Z_2^{k_2} \dots Z_d^{k_d}$. More precisely, it is easy to check that the gauge transformation corresponding to such a monomial transforms a constant curvature connection ∇_i^0 into a connection of the form $\nabla_i^0 + c_i \cdot \mathbf{1}$ that obviously belongs to the same component of $\mathcal{C}$. One can prove that a gauge transformation belonging to G^{large}/G^{mon} transforms ∇_i^0 into a connection from another component of $\mathcal{C}$. The proof is based on the reduction to the case of a free module by means of $SO(d, d|\mathbf{Z})$ -transformation. Now we can describe the part of the phase space corresponding to a small neighborhood of the space $\mathcal{C}$. We should fix a constant curvature connection ∇^0 and consider small fluctuations of connections of the form $\nabla_i^0 + c_i \cdot \mathbf{1}$ satisfying the Gaussian constraint $[\nabla_i, P^i] = 0$ or

more precisely obeying the linearization of this identity. (In other words we consider a small neighborhood of the set $\{\nabla_i^0 + c_i \cdot \mathbf{1}\}$ in the space $\mathcal{N}$ defined above.) We should impose also the gauge condition restricting ourselves to fluctuations that are orthogonal to the gauge group orbits (see [9] for details). Then the phase space can be obtained from this neighborhood by means of factorization with respect to G^{mon} . (Again the easiest way to verify this statement is to use a $SO(d, d|\mathbf{Z})$ transformation to a free module.)

Factorization with respect to the group G^{mon} leads to the described above quantization conditions on the eigenvalues of the operators $\text{Tr} \hat{P}^i$ and $\hat{P}_j$. Namely, one first introduces a basis in the Lie algebra L in which the condition $[\nabla_j, Z_k] = 2\pi i \delta_{jk} Z_k$ is satisfied. This basis is related to the standard basis defined by the relations $[\nabla_j, U_k] = 2\pi i \delta_{jk} U_k$ by means of the matrix $A = R\theta + S$. In this new basis factorization over the action of the group G^{mon} gives the following eigenvalues of the zero mode of electric field

$$\tilde{p}^i = e^i + \tilde{\theta}^{ij} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{m=1}^{d-1} N_m(\mathbf{k}) k_j. \quad (37)$$

Here $N_m(\mathbf{k})$ stands for the energy level of the m -th transverse oscillator with momentum $\mathbf{k}$, e^i are integers, $\tilde{p}^i$ denotes the components calculated with respect to the new basis. Going to the standard basis, i.e. acting by the matrix A on (37), one obtains

$$p^i = n^i + \theta^{ij} m_j$$

where

$$n^i = (S^t)_j^i e^j - (N^t)^{ij} \sum_{r=1}^{d-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k_j N_r(\mathbf{k}), \quad (38)$$

$$m_i = (M^t)_i^j \sum_{r=1}^{d-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k_j N_r(\mathbf{k}) - R_{ij}^t e^j \quad (39)$$

where matrices M , N , R , S are the corresponding blocks of the matrix (36) introduced above. As one can check directly the numbers m_i given by formula (39) are eigenvalues of the operator $(2\pi)^{-1} \mathcal{P}_i$ as it is expected from considerations above. Furthermore, we obtain

that the spectrum in our approximation reads as

$$\begin{aligned}
E = & \frac{g_{YM}^2 R_0^2}{2V \dim E} (e^i + \tilde{\theta}^{ir} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{m=1}^{d-1} N_m(\mathbf{k}) k_r) A_i^l g_{lm} \cdot \\
& \cdot (A^t)_j{}^m (e^j + \tilde{\theta}^{js} \sum_{m=1}^{d-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} N_m(\mathbf{k}) k_s) + \\
& + \frac{V \dim E}{4g_{YM}^2} ((\pi A^{-1} R)_{ij} + \phi_{ij}) g^{ik} g^{jl} ((\pi A^{-1} R)_{kl} + \phi_{kl}) + \\
& + (\pi R_0) \sum_{m=1}^{d-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} N_m(\mathbf{k}) \left(k_i ((A^t)^{-1} g^{-1} A^{-1})^{ij} k_j \right)^{1/2} \quad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

where $A = R\theta + S$, $\dim E = |\det A|^{1/2}$. One can minimize the energy (40) over the oscillators energy levels $N_r(\mathbf{k})$ for fixed quantum numbers n^i and m_j . From (38), (39) one can derive that one needs to minimize over the numbers $N_r(\mathbf{k})$ subject to the constraint

$$\sum_{r=1}^{d-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k_i N_r(\mathbf{k}) = S_i^j m_j - R_{ij} n^j.$$

When minimizing it suffices to use the fact that the norm of a sum of vectors is always less or equal than the sum of corresponding norms. The minimized BPS-spectrum has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
E = & \frac{R_0 (g_{YM})^2}{2V \dim E} (n^i + \theta^{ik} m_k) g_{ij} (n^j + \theta^{jl} m_l) + \\
& + \frac{V \dim E}{4g_{YM}^2} (\pi (A^{-1} R)_{ij} + \phi_{ij}) g^{ik} g^{jl} (\pi (A^{-1} R)_{kl} + \phi_{kl}) + \\
& + R_0 \pi \sqrt{v_i g^{ij} v_j} \quad (41)
\end{aligned}$$

where $v_i = A_{ij}^{-1} (S_j^k m_k - R_{jk} n^k)$, $A = R\theta + S$ and R and S are blocks of the matrix (36). This formula agrees with formula (12) if one takes into account the identity $\text{Tr} F_{ij} = \pi (A^{-1} R)_{ij} \dim E$ and the fact that the constant C_2 vanishes for a module admitting a constant curvature connection. We skipped all technical details here as the calculation essentially parallels the one made in [9] for the case $d = 2$. We also omitted the possible topological terms in formulas (40), (41). One can easily restore them.

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to K. Gawedzki, K. Hofman, B. Pioline, M. Rieffel, and B. Zumino for useful discussions.

References

- [1] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. Shenker and L. Susskind, *M-Theory as a Matrix Model: A Conjecture*, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 5112, hep-th/9610043.
- [2] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, I. Kitazawa, and A. Tsuchiya, *A large- N reduced model as superstring*, Nucl. Phys. B492 (1997) pp. 467-491; hep-th/9612115.
- [3] A. Connes, M. Douglas and A. Schwarz, *Noncommutative geometry and Matrix theory: compactification on tori*, JHEP 02(1998)003; hep-th/9711162
- [4] A. Schwarz, *Morita equivalence and duality*, hep-th/9805034.
- [5] M. Rieffel and A. Schwarz, *Morita equivalence of multidimensional noncommutative tori*, q-alg/9803057.
- [6] B. Morariu and B. Zumino, *Super Yang-Mills on the noncommutative torus*, hep-th/9807198.
- [7] D. Brace, B. Morariu, *A note on the BPS spectrum of the Matrix Model*, hep-th/9810185.
- [8] D. Brace, B. Morariu and B. Zumino, *T-duality and Ramond-Ramond Backgrounds in the Matrix Model*, hep-th/9811213.
- [9] A. Konechny and A. Schwarz, *BPS states on noncommutative tori and duality*, hep-th/9811159.
- [10] C. Hofman and E. Verlinde, *Gauge bundles and Born-Infeld on the noncommutative torus*, hep-th/9810219.
- [11] N.A. Obers and B. Pioline, *U-duality and M-Theory*, hep-th/9809039.

- [12] A.A. Kirillov, *Geometric Quantization*, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 4, p. 230.